IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

**THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 8257 of 2005**

Between:

1 S.Venkat Ram Reddy, S/o. S.Narayana Reddy,
16-11-310/6/1/5 Saleemnagar Colony, Malakpet, Hyderabad.
2 P.Achi Reddy, S/o. Venkataram Raddy,
New Nallakunta, Hyderabad.
3 M.Bhasker Reddy, S/o. Lachi Reddy,
Santhoshnagar, Hyderabad.
4 R.Venugopal, S/o. R.Yadagiri, Hyderabad.
5 Ravula Krishnaiah, S/o. Veerappa, R.R.Dist.
6 Alampalli Ramakrihsna, S/o. Balijangaiah,
Flot No.101, Vijayasri Sai Apts., Chaitanyapuri, R.R.Dist.
7 Alampalli Srinivas, S/o. Balijangaiah,
Flot No.101, Vijayasri Sai Apts., Chaitanyapuri, R.R.Dist.
8 Dunuka Padmavathi, W/o. Devadas,
Puchampalley, Nalgonda District.
9 Dunuka Devadas, W/o. Ramachandraiah,
Puchampalley, Nalgonda District.

**.....** PETITIONERS

AND

1 The State of A.P., rep. by its Special Chief Secretary to
the Revenue Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The District Registrar of Staps & Registration, R.R.Dist.
3 The Sub-Registrar, Champapet, R.R.Dist.

**.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of 3 rd respondent in not registering and releasing petitioners sale deeds as pet G.O.Ms.No.807, Revenue (Registration-I) Dept, dt.13.10.2004 as illegal, arbitrary, violation of principles of natural justice and contrary to G.O.Ms.No.807, dt.13.10.2004 and consequently direct 3rd respondent to release the sale deeds of the petitioners after due registration of their respective plots by extending the benefit of judgments in W.P.No.2414/2005 and 4836/2005

dt.01.03.2005 and 11.03.2005.

**Counsel for the Petitioners: MR.K.VENKATESWARLU**

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE**

**This Court at the admission stage made the following:**

**O R D E R:**

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents.

The petitioners state that they have purchased different extents of plots in Survey Nos.57 to 60 situated at Karmanghat village, Saroornagar Mandal, Rangareddy District. When the said documents were presented for registration, the respondents have refused to register the same on the ground that the first respondent issued G.O.Ms.No.751 dated 12-12-2001 wherein it was declared that the registration of documents of immovable properties in Survey Nos.57 to 60 of Karmanghat village, Saroornagar Mandal, Rangareddy District was opposed to public policy and thus, all the Sub-Registrars were directed not to register any sale deeds in respect of the lands in the above survey numbers. However, subsequently, the first respondent issued G.O.Ms.No.807 dated 13-10-2004 in exercise of powers conferred under Sub-Section (1) of Section 22-A of the Registration Act, 1908, denotifying the notification issued in G.O.Ms.No.751 dated 12-12-2001 in respect of the lands in Survey Nos.57 to 60 of Karmanghat village. In the circumstances, the petitioners contend that the objections raised by the third respondent based on G.O.Ms.No.751 dated 12-12-2001 do not exist and therefore, they approached the third respondent with a request to register the sale deeds and to release the documents. However, the third respondent has not taken any steps. Hence, this writ petition.

It is brought to my notice that an identical issue has been considered by this Court in W.P.No.8705 of 2002 and batch, and that the writ petitions were disposed of by common order, dated 15-12-2004, directing the respondents to release the documents presented by the

petitioners therein after due registration.

Accordingly, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to receive the documents presented by the petitioners, and to register the same without raising any objection based on G.O.Ms.No.751 dated 12-12-2001. However, it is made clear that in case the Registering Authority finds any other objection, it is open to him to raise such objection, but the same shall be communicated to the petitioners within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

_____

(**G. ROHINI, J**)

Date: 25-04-2005

ghn

To

1 The State of A.P., rep. by its Special Chief Secretary to
the Revenue Dept., Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2 The District Registrar of Staps & Registration, R.R.Dist.
3 The Sub-Registrar, Champapet, R.R.Dist.

4 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad. (O.U.T.)

5 Two CD copies.

–

–

–